UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,695	09/18/2008	Hans Beer	2003P01721WOUS	3476
46726 7590 03/15/2012 BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			EXAMINER	
			GOLIGHTLY, ERIC WAYNE	
	100 BOSCH BOULEVARD NEW BERN, NC 28562		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1714	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/15/2012	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

NBN-IntelProp@bshg.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	nitiated Interview Summary				
zammer minatou merview cummury	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ERIC GOLIGHTLY	1714			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>ERIC GOLIGHTLY</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>John Dresch</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 06 March 2012.					
Type:	applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	⊠ No.				
Issues Discussed \(\sum 101 \subseteq 1112 \subseteq 102 \subseteq 103 \subseteq 0the (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detail					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>26-28 and 39</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> .					
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc) Proposed an examiner's amendment wherein claims 26-28 and 39 would be cancelled. Applicants took the proposed					
amendment into consideration, but agreement has not beer amendment.	n reached with respect to the p	<u>roposed examin</u>	<u>er's</u>		
					
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to p	provide a separate record of the substa	ance of interview.			
Examiner recordation instructions : Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.					
☐ Attachment					
/E. G./ Examiner, Art Unit 1714	/Michael Kornakov/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Ur	nit 1714			

Application No.

Applicant(s)